



A SYSTEMIC ANALYSIS OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF INDIVIDUAL AND SHARED AUTOMATED MOBILITY IN AUSTRIA

Paul Pfaffenbichler
Astrid Gühnemann
Roman Klementschtz

Institute for Transport Studies, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna

Günter Emberger

Institute of Transportation, TU Wien

Simon Shepherd

Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds

1. RATIONALE

Increasing digitalization and automation is expected to significantly change the transport system, mobility and settlement structures. A decade ago automated, self-driving vehicles were nothing more than an unrealistic (boyhood) dream in science fiction movies and books. But today the concept of highly and fully automated vehicles (AVs) is rapidly becoming a reality, with a series of real world trial applications underway. The authors and their institutions have been and are involved in several research projects analysing potential impacts of automated driving. The results presented in this paper stem from these projects. Hence, the spatial focus is on Austria and UK.

In Austria an action plan for automated mobility was published by the Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology in 2016 (BMVIT, 2016). A regulatory framework, to enable the testing of specific use cases of automated vehicles on public roads, was defined (BMVIT, no date) and a national contact point for automated mobility was installed (AustriaTech, no date). Based on the use cases of the action plan, a Directive on Automated Driving was issued, setting the legal framework for tests on public roads (Zankl and Rehrl, 2018). At the end of 2016 Salzburg Research was granted permission to test self-driving shuttle buses in accordance with this directive AutomatFahrV (Austrian Federal Law Gazette II No. 402/2016). In April 2017 Salzburg Research was granted a license for testing a Navya Tech self-driving shuttlebus on public roads (Zankl and Rehrl, 2018). The final report documenting the results of the test phase was published in September 2018 (Zankl and Rehrl, 2018). In 2018 a follow up project named “Digibus® Austria” was started (Digibus® Austria, no date). “Digibus® Austria” is a flagship project funded by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency FFG and the Federal Ministry of Transport, Innovation and Technology within the framework of the “Future Mobility” funding scheme. The goal is to research and test methods, technologies and models for proofing a reliable and traffic-safe operation of automated shuttles on open roads in mixed traffic in a regional driving environment on automated driving level 3 (“Conditional Automation”) and creating foundations for automation level 4 (“High Automation”).

In the UK the government has invested heavily in autonomous vehicle trials since 2015 when it first published a code of practice for trialling automated vehicles which was recently updated (C-CAV, 2019). This included supporting three large trials of technology. The largest project being the



AutoDrive project which successfully demonstrated the use of both autonomous vehicles in Coventry based on laps of the city centre ring road and autonomous “pods” in Milton Keynes which move among pedestrians off road at a much slower pace (UK Autodrive, 2019). More recently, the UK government has announced more funding and investment to meet their goal of autonomous vehicles on UK roads by 2021 (GOV.UK, 2019). The objectives of the UK government are to strengthen guidelines on trial safety and transparency, seek to cement the position as a world leader in automated vehicle trials and to develop a process to help support advanced trials.

Most government plans and industry predictions expect a stepwise path towards high and full automation of private and public transport vehicles starting from the early 2020s onwards. Nevertheless, there is still a high level of uncertainty in which form and to what extent automated vehicles will enter the market. Will fully automated private cars be the dominant form of mobility in the future? Or will automation come in combination with a switch towards car and ride sharing services? To what extent will automation be used to close the gap in the first and last mile of public transport? Furthermore, there are ongoing discussions concerning net effects of positive and negative aspects related to automation.

2. BACKGROUND

The EU funded project CityMobil (Towards Advanced Road Transport for the Urban Environment) was one of first projects to address automated driving on a large scale (Benmimou *et al.*, 2009). The authors and their institutions have been involved in CityMobil, analysing the potential impacts of different forms of automated driving. As part of this work, the System Dynamics based model MARS (Metropolitan Activity Relocation Simulator) was adapted to assess scenarios of automated driving in four European cities (Muir *et al.*, 2008). The scenarios included privately owned automated vehicles and automated vehicles in public transport. Simulations demonstrated that automated vehicles integrated into public transport have a potential to reduce car mileage travelled and improve carbon footprint even without changes in propulsion technology. On the contrary, privately owned automated vehicles lead to an increase in car mileage travelled. Unless propulsion technology is changed, this results in an increased carbon footprint.

While the focus of CityMobil was on the urban scale, the nationally funded Austrian project Shared Autonomy (Potential Effects of the Take-up of Automated Vehicles in Rural Areas – own translation) focussed on rural areas (Haider and Klementschtz, 2017; Klementschtz *et al.*, 2018). The findings of Shared Autonomy show potential contributions of automated cars to improve the environmental situation and social inclusion in rural areas. These effects are however dependent on future use cases of the new technology and will only materialise if implemented in form of shared mobility rather than privately owned cars.

Finally, the recently finished nationally funded Austrian project SAFiP (System Scenarios Automated Driving in Personal Mobility – own translation) takes a look at the national territory of Austria (Pfaffenbichler and Emberger, 2019; Soteropoulos *et al.*, 2019). SAFiP was based on a multi-methodical approach of scenario technics, forecasting and backcasting embedded in a dialogue with experts and stakeholders from politics, administration, science, industry and civil society. Scenarios for personal mobility in Austria were developed, which describe the transport system in terms of multiple future pictures while anticipating the possibilities and developments in the field of automated transport. On this basis, the spectrum of transport-relevant impacts was quantified, requirements for



various policy areas (R&D policy, transport policy, spatial planning, etc.) were developed and concrete further instruments and measures were identified. A revised and significantly modified nationwide version of the MARS model was employed to estimate the transport related effects of the different scenarios.

3. METHOD

The relationship between vehicle automation, travel demand and environmental effects consists of a multitude of complex cause-effect-chains. The toolbox of System Dynamics offers appropriate methods to tackle such complexities. In a first step, Causal Loop Diagrams were used to analyse and discuss relevant cause-effect-chains and resulting potential impacts (section 3.1). The results of the qualitative analysis were used to adapt different existing Stock-Flow-Models. A MARS model of the city of Leeds was used for first tests of the quantification of the identified cause-effect-chains. The results of this process were presented at the World Conference on Transport Research in Mumbai (May *et al.*, 2019). These first experiences and results were used to modify a national Austrian version of MARS (section 3.2). This modified Stock-Flow-Model was then used for a quantitative impact assessment in the project SAFiP. Additionally, sensitivity analysis in form of Monte-Carlo-Simulations was employed to tackle the high level of uncertainty concerning key factors (section 3.3). While both models have the ability to assess potential effects of private automated vehicles, automated car and ride sharing as well as automated first and last mile public transport, the results presented here focus on the analysis of single elements associated with automated private cars.

3.1 Causal Loop Diagrams

A detailed analysis of potential cause-effect-relations between the market take up of highly automated vehicles (level 4 and 5) and travel demand and environmental indicators was part of the recently finished project SAFiP (Pfaffenbichler and Emberger, 2019; Soteropoulos *et al.*, 2019). The analysis was based on the experience of the involved researchers, a literature review, discussions and feedback from experts. A first version of Causal Loop Diagrams for individual cars and public transport was presented at a national conference (Pfaffenbichler, 2018). Subsequent versions were discussed at project meetings and conferences of the International and Germans System Dynamics Society (Gühnemann *et al.*, 2018; Pfaffenbichler, Gühnemann and Emberger, 2019).

Figure 1 shows the final version of the Causal Loop Diagram identifying the connections between the market take up of automated private vehicles and the attractiveness and use of different means of transport. Similar diagrams have been developed for automated vehicles as part of car and ride sharing services and the first and last mile of public transport. For automated private cars the following five core elements and effects have been identified: diffusion of automated vehicles (1), remote parking (2), road capacity effects influencing average speed (3), value of in vehicle time (4) and access for new user groups (5). The elements, which are analysed in detail in section 3.3, are highlighted in red.

(1) Diffusion/market share of automated vehicles: The diffusion of highly automated private vehicles is the starting point for the qualitative analysis. The market take up (*level of automation private car*) is affecting several other elements of the system description of mode choice and private car use.




Figure 1: Causal Loop Diagram – effects of the market take up of highly automated private cars

(2) Remote parking: Highly automated vehicles will be able to park on their own. Passengers can drop off directly at their destination. The automation of private cars (*level of automation private car*) therefore has an influence on the distance to parking places (*access/egress time parking place*) and parking place searching (*parking place searching time*). The polarity of these two links is opposite. If there is a higher level automation in the car fleet, then *access/egress time parking place* and *parking place searching time* decrease. This means lower generalised costs of travel time and hence higher attractiveness and use of private cars.

(3) Road capacity and average speed: There are high expectations that highly automated cars will harmonise driving conditions and reduce congestion. Hence, the automation of private cars (*level of automation private car*) has an influence on in vehicle time (*in vehicle time private car*). The polarity of this link is opposite. If there is a higher level of automation in the car fleet, then *in vehicle time private car* will decrease. This results in lower generalised costs of travel time and hence higher attractiveness and use of private cars.

(4) Value of in vehicle time: A higher level of automation also has the potential to influence the perception of in vehicle time (*weighting in vehicle time private car*). Liberated from the driving task passengers can use the in vehicle time for more pleasant activities. The polarity of this link is opposite. If there is a higher level of automation in the car fleet, then *weighting in vehicle time private car* will decrease. This results again in lower generalised costs of travel time and hence higher attractiveness and use of private cars.



(5) New user groups: The use of fully automated cars does not require a driver license. Hence the availability of private cars for user groups without a driver license (*availability private car*) is increased. More people have access to private car and its attractiveness and use increases.

Other effects: Automated cars are, at least in the short term, expensive. Automated cars hence increase investment costs for owning a car (*extra costs automated car*). Thus costs of ownership are increased and hence attractiveness and use are decreased due to automation. Fully automated cars can avoid charged parking areas by driving to more distant parking places which are free of charge or they can idle until the passenger returns. Thus parking costs (*parking charges private car*) can be reduced. More harmonised traffic can reduce fuel consumption and costs (*fuel costs private car*). On the other hand idling cars (*idling private car*) can increase fuel consumption and costs. If the number of automated cars increases (*# automated private cars*) economy of scale effects (*economy of scale effects automated cars*) come into effect and automated cars will become cheaper.

3.2 Stock-Flow-Model

The System Dynamics software Vensim® (www.vensim.com) is used to program the quantitative MARS model. More information about the background of MARS could be found e.g. in (Pfaffenbichler, 2008, 2011). The MARS-SAFiP model is based on a previous version of MARS developed for the Environment Agency Austria (Krutzler *et al.*, 2017) and covers the whole area of Austria subdivided into 120 districts. The development and distribution of the Austrian population and employment is based on the forecasts made by the Austrian Conference on Spatial Planning (Hanika, 2010a, 2010b; Kytir, Biffel and Wisbauer, 2010). The development of the car fleet and its electrification follows the scenario WEM (with existing measures) of the project Energy and Greenhouse Gas Scenarios for 2030 and 2050 (Krutzler *et al.*, 2017). In 2050 about two thirds of the private cars are expected to have battery electric propulsion. The nominal price for fossil fuel at the pump is assumed to grow by about 30% until 2050. At the same time household income is assumed to grow by about 50%, resulting in a decrease of real fossil fuel prices. The price for electricity is assumed to grow by about 95% until 2050.

Due to restricted resources, it was not possible to model the market take up of level 4 and 5 cars internally in MARS. Instead, market share data from literature, e.g. (Fagnant and Kockelman, 2015; McKinsey & Company, 2016; Busch *et al.*, 2017; Krail *et al.*, 2019), are used as scenario variables which are then fed into a stock-flow-model to calculate the respective fleet shares. For the tests presented here, market take up follows the “high disruption scenario” of a McKinsey study (McKinsey & Company, 2016). This scenario results in a fleet share of about 90% level 4 and 5 cars in 2050.

Vensim® allows to organise complex models in different views. In the SAFiP version of MARS a separate view concentrating all elements, which are influenced by the market take up of automated vehicles, was created (Figure 2). This new structure facilitates the definition of a wide range of potential future scenarios of vehicle automation. The numbered circles refer to the same five core elements and effects as mentioned in the previous section 3.1. Red elements refer to parameters and variables which are used to formulate scenarios. Green elements refer to parameters which have no spatial component. Blue elements represent time series data for the scenario definition.

The definition of scenarios for the effects (2) to (5) consist of a switch to turn the single effect on and off and a variable to define the magnitude of the effect. In some cases, the latter has also a spatial component, e.g. concerning the effect of remote parking. The effect of automated private cars

concerning the perception of in vehicle time (4) is e.g. modelled as follows. The road network in the model MARS-SAFIP is differentiated into three categories: urban roads, rural roads and highways. The variable *scenario automated cars in vehicle time xls* serves to switch the effect on and off. The model user can define whether the perception of in vehicle time is affected not at all, on highways only, on highways and rural roads or on all roads. The variable *scenario automated cars delta perception in vehicle time xls* defines the magnitude of the effect. The user has the possibility to assign different values to level 4 and level 5 cars. In accordance with (Wadud, MacKenzie and Leiby, 2016) the potential range is limited with -5% to -50%.




Figure 2: Screenshot of the view “Automated driving” of model version MARS-SAFIP v1.1 – English

3.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Vensim® offers the possibility to run automated sensitivity testing using Monte Carlo simulation, also known as multivariate sensitivity simulation (Ventana Systems, 2007) p. 222. Monte Carlo multivariate sensitivity works by sampling a set of numbers from within bounded domains. To perform one multivariate test, the distribution for each parameter specified is sampled, and the resulting values used in a simulation. Vensim® offers a variety of probability distributions from which values for each parameter will be drawn from. A random nominal distribution was used in the tests documented below. This requires maximum and minimum bounds as well as a mean and standard deviation to be specified. The number of simulations was set to be 200.

Value of in vehicle time: One of the major uncertainties is how automated driving affects the value of in vehicle time. The variation of values reported in the available literature is quite big. (Wadud, MacKenzie and Leiby, 2016) report a range of -5% to -50%. A German stated choice experiment reports about -55% for low and medium income and -42% for high income groups (Kolarova *et al.*, 2018). For the sensitivity testing it was assumed that the effect on value of time matches a normal distribution defined by the following values: mean -30%, minimum -50%, maximum -5% and standard deviation +/- 10%.

Average speed off peak: An Austrian study concluded that in periods with low traffic volumes automated vehicles will be slower than conventional ones (Gruber *et al.*, 2018). The reason is that automated vehicles follow speed limits strictly and choose their speed according to their visibility range. An aggregation of the results for off peak travel speed by road category resulted in the following values: urban roads -7%, rural roads -5% and highways -12% (Pfaffenbichler and Emberger, 2019). Nevertheless, the uncertainty concerning these results seem to be high. Other researchers argue e.g. that higher safety standards of automated vehicles would allow to raise or abolish existing speed limits (van den Berg and Verhoef, 2016; Wadud, MacKenzie and Leiby, 2016). Hence, it was decided to carry out a sensitivity analysis for the element off peak speed. It was assumed that the off peak speed effect corresponds with the following normal distributions (urban/rural/highway): mean 7%/-5%/-12%, minimum -5%/-10%/-14%, maximum +14%/+14%/+20% and standard deviation +/-2% (all).

Figure 3 shows the results of the abovementioned Monte Carlo simulations for the Austrian wide car mileage driven per year. In the business as usual scenario (BAU) without a significant market take up above level 3 yearly mileage increases from about 62 billion kilometres in 2018 to about 78 billion kilometres in 2050 (thick red line). The main driver for this development is population growth. In 2050 the *value of in vehicle time* effect of the mean value of -30% results in an increase of car mileage of about +2.6% relative to BAU or in absolute terms about 80 billion kilometres (left side of Figure 3). The 50% confidence bound ranges from about +3.1% to +4.3% (yellow colour). The 95% confidence bound ranges from about +1.6% to +5.3% (blue colour). In 2050 the off peak *capacity/speed* effect for the standard values of -7% (urban roads), -5% (rural roads) and 12% (highways) results in a decrease of the car mileage of about -2.2% relative to BAU or in absolute terms about 76 billion kilometres (right side of Figure 3). The 50% confidence bound ranges from about -2.0% to +1.3% (yellow colour). The 95% confidence bound ranges from about -4.1% to +3.6% (blue colour).




Figure 3: Confidence bounds car mileage per year – results Monte Carlo simulation value of in vehicle time (left) and average travel speed off peak (right)

4. FINDINGS, RESULTS

The key factors, influencing mode choice and travel demand, are generalised costs of travel time, weighted costs of use and availability. The automation of driving, expressed as the share of highly and fully automated vehicles in the fleet of private cars, is influencing all three key factors via different cause-effect-chains and feedback loops. In SAFiP four key impact sources were identified: automated

and remote parking, road capacity and travel speed, value of in-vehicle time and widening the range of users (section 3.1). Automated and remote parking e.g. produces the following cause-effect-chain: Highly automated vehicles can park on their own, reducing or even avoiding the necessity to search for appropriate parking places. If there is a higher level of automation then parking place searching time decreases. This means lower generalised costs of travel time and hence higher attractiveness and use of private cars, resulting in an increase in car mileage travelled. The identified qualitative cause-effect-chains have been implemented in MARS versions of the city Leeds and the whole of Austria (section 3.2). In order to tackle uncertainties sensitivity tests for each of the abovementioned impact sources have been carried out (section 3.3).

Table 1 gives an overview of the effects of the single elements on mileage driven by region. On a national level unlocking *new user groups* has the potential to increase car mileage by about 17% in 2050. This is the single highest effect. The effect varies by region, ranging from +16% in Vienna to +21% in peripheral districts. Differences are caused by differences in car ownership rates. While people without driving license are able to use automated vehicles, in a scenario base on private car it is still necessary that there is on available in the household. *Remote parking* has its highest effect in Vienna, where parking spaces are scarce while demand is high. The effect is lowest in peripheral districts where detached houses with private parking spaces dominate. On average remote parking increases car mileage by roughly 5%. The effect of changes in the *value of in vehicle time* is of the same order of magnitude. Although regional differences are less pronounced, ranging from about 4% in central districts to about 9% in Vienna. In the present parameter setting the only element which reduces mileage driven is *road capacity/speed*. The average effect is a reduction of about 2%, while regional differences are not very pronounced.

Table 1: Overview of the change in mileage driven caused by the different elements of automated private cars relative to BAU by region in 2050

Region	Remote Parking	Road capacity / Speed	Value of in vehicle time	New user groups
Vienna	+16.7%	-2.7%	+8.7%	+16.1%
Major cities (without Vienna)	+9.0%	-3.0%	+5.4%	+17.2%
Central districts	+4.4%	-2.6%	+3.5%	+19.6%
Peripheral districts	+1.4%	-1.6%	+5.8%	+21.0%
Austria	+4.5%	-2.1%	+5.1%	+16.8%

Legend: <0% 0-5% 5-10% 10-20% >20%

Source: (Pfaffenbichler and Emberger, 2019), p. 128

All individual triggers, except speed during off peak, increase kilometres travelled by private car compared with the business as usual scenario. The largest effects arising from unlocking new user groups. The combination of triggers has a synergetic effect. The increases in car kilometres travelled suggest that, other things equal, the environment will be adversely affected. Results depend on input assumptions, but they suggest that it is important to gain a clearer understanding of the likely scale of each of the four factors: impacts on capacity, parking and access time, in vehicle values of time and the extent to which current non-drivers will be permitted to use cars. They confirm that the



introduction of automated cars is likely to have a deleterious effect on the environment and policy interventions to mitigate this effect are necessary.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research leading to this paper was conducted in the following national and international projects:

- **CityMobil** (Towards Advanced Road Transport for the Urban Environment) funded by the European Commission in the 6th Framework Program
- **Shared Autonomy** (Potential Effects of the Take-up of Automated Vehicles in Rural Areas – own translation) funded by the Austrian Ministry of Transport, Innovation and Technology via the Austrian Research Promotion Agency in its programme “Mobility of the Future”
- **SAFiP** (System Scenarios Automated Driving in Personal Mobility – own translation) funded by the Austrian Ministry of Transport, Innovation and Technology via the Austrian Research Promotion Agency in its programme “Mobility of the Future”

We would furthermore like to thank all colleagues which contributed to the underlying research with their work, expertise and advice.

6. BIBLIOGRAPHY

AustriaTech (no date) *Contact Point Automated Mobility, AustriaTech*. Available at: <https://www.austriatech.at/en/contact-point-automated-mobility/> (Accessed: 12 August 2019).

Benmimou, A. *et al.* (2009) ‘Demonstration of Advanced Transport Applications in CityMobil Project’, *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. doi: 10.3141/2110-02.

van den Berg, V. A. C. and Verhoef, E. T. (2016) ‘Autonomous cars and dynamic bottleneck congestion: The effects on capacity, value of time and preference heterogeneity’, *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 94. doi: 10.1016/j.trb.2016.08.018.

BMVIT (2016) *Aktionsplan Automatisiertes Fahren*. Available at: <https://www.bmvit.gv.at/innovation/publikationen/verkehrstechnologie/downloads/automatisiert.pdf> (Accessed: 5 September 2017).

BMVIT (no date) *Automatisiertes Fahren, Austrian Ministry of Transport, Innovation and Technology*. Available at: <https://www.bmvit.gv.at/verkehr/automatisiertesFahren/index.html> (Accessed: 12 August 2019).

Busch, F. *et al.* (2017) *Auswirkungen des teil- und hochautomatisierten Fahrens auf die Kapazität der Fernstraßeninfrastruktur, FAT-Schriftenreihe*. Berlin: Verband der Automobilindustrie, Forschungsvereinigung Automobiltechnik.

C-CAV (2019) *Code of Practice: Automated vehicle trialling*. London. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/trialling-automated-vehicle-technologies-in-public>.

Digibus® Austria (no date) *Digibus® Austria*. Available at: <https://www.digibus.at/en/> (Accessed: 12 August 2019).

Fagnant, D. J. and Kockelman, K. (2015) ‘Preparing a nation for autonomous vehicles: Opportunities, barriers and policy recommendations’, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 77. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2015.04.003.

GOV.UK (2019) *Government moves forward on advanced trials for self-driving vehicles, GOV.UK*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-moves-forward-on-advanced-trials-for-self-driving-vehicles> (Accessed: 26 August 2019).

Gruber, C. J. *et al.* (2018) *Forschungsprojekt AUTO-NOM Analyse, Evaluierung und Anforderungen an*



innovative Anwendungen von autonomen Fahrzeugen aus verkehrspolitischer Sicht - Teil 1: Verkehrliche Auswirkungen und verkehrspolitische Aussagen. Graz.

Gühnemann, A. *et al.* (2018) 'Simulating transport and societal effects of automated vehicles', in *36th International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*. Reykjavík.

Haider, T. and Klementschnitz, R. (2017) *Wirkungspotentiale für den Einsatz automatisierter Fahrzeuge im ländlichen Raum*. Vienna. Available at:

https://www.sharedautomatedmobility.org/w/images/3/39/SharedAutonomy_Wirkungsanalyse.pdf

Hanika, A. (2010a) *Kleinräumige Bevölkerungsprognose für Österreich 2010-2030 mit Ausblick bis 2050 - Teil 1: Endbericht zur Bevölkerungsprognose*. Wien: Österreichischen Raumordnungskonferenz (ÖROK), Statistik Austria. Available at: http://www.oerok.gv.at/fileadmin/Bilder/2.Reiter-Raum_u._Region/2.Daten_und_Grundlagen/Bevoelkerungsprognosen/Prognose_2010_Teil1/Endbericht_Bevoelkerungsprognose_08-2010.pdf.

Hanika, A. (2010b) *Kleinräumige Bevölkerungsprognose für Österreich 2010-2030 mit Ausblick bis 2050 - Teil 3: Endbericht zu den Modellrechnungen zur regionalen Haushaltsentwicklung*. Wien: Österreichischen Raumordnungskonferenz (ÖROK), Statistik Austria. Available at: http://www.oerok.gv.at/fileadmin/Bilder/2.Reiter-Raum_u._Region/2.Daten_und_Grundlagen/Bevoelkerungsprognosen/Prognose_2010_Teil2/Endbericht_Modellrechnungen_Haushalte.pdf.

Klementschnitz, R. *et al.* (2018) 'The introduction of automated vehicles and its implications for society and the environment', in *Proceedings of 7th Transport Research Arena TRA 2018*. Vienna. Available at: https://www.sharedautomatedmobility.org/w/images/0/0b/Paper_Shared_Autonomy_TRA_final.pdf.

Kolarova, V. *et al.* (2018) 'Estimation of the value of time for automated driving using revealed and stated preference methods', in *Transportation Research Procedia*. doi: 10.1016/j.trpro.2018.09.044.

Krail, M. *et al.* (2019) *Energie- und Treibhausgaswirkungen des automatisierten und vernetzten Fahrens im Straßenverkehr*. Karlsruhe. Available at:

<https://www.isi.fraunhofer.de/content/dam/isi/dokumente/ccn/2019/energie-treibhausgaswirkungen-vernetztes-fahren.pdf>.

Krutzler, T. *et al.* (2017) *Energie- und Treibhausgas-Szenarien im Hinblick auf 2030 und 2050*. Wien: Umweltbundesamt. Available at:

<http://www.umweltbundesamt.at/fileadmin/site/publikationen/REP0628.pdf>.

Kytir, J., Biffel, G. and Wisbauer, A. (2010) *Kleinräumige Bevölkerungsprognose für Österreich 2010-2030 mit Ausblick bis 2050- Teil 2 Endbericht zur Erwerbsprognose*. Wien: Österreichischen Raumordnungskonferenz (ÖROK), Statistik Austria. Available at:

http://www.oerok.gv.at/fileadmin/Bilder/2.Reiter-Raum_u._Region/2.Daten_und_Grundlagen/Bevoelkerungsprognosen/Prognose_2010_Teil2/Endbericht_Erwerbsprognose.pdf.

May, A. D. *et al.* (2019) 'The potential impacts of automated cars on urban transport: an exploratory analysis', in *15th World Conference on Transport Research*. Mumbai: World Conference on Transport Research Society (WCTRS).

McKinsey & Company (2016) *Automotive revolution – perspective towards 2030*. doi: 10.1365/s40112-016-1117-8.

Muir, H. *et al.* (2008) *CityMobil WP2.3 - Modelling Background Report - MARS, microsimulation, cities and scenarios*. Available at: <http://www.citymobil-project.eu/downloadables/Deliverables/D2.3.1-PU-Modelling-report-CityMobil.pdf>.



- Pfaffenbichler, P. (2008) *MARS - Metropolitan Activity Relocation Simulator - A Systems Dynamics based Land Use and Transport Interaction Model*. Saarbruecken: Verlag Dr. Mueller.
- Pfaffenbichler, P. (2011) 'Modelling with Systems Dynamics as a method to bridge the gap between politics, planning and science? Lessons learnt from the development of the land use and transport model MARS', *Transport Reviews*, 31(2). doi: 10.1080/01441647.2010.534570.
- Pfaffenbichler, P. (2018) 'ÖPNV und automatisiertes Fahren – Einfluss verschiedener Szenarien auf den Marktanteil', in 16. *Wiener Eisenbahnkolloquium - ÖPNV im Umbruch*. Wien: Eigenverlag des Österreichischen Verbandes für Elektrotechnik (OVE).
- Pfaffenbichler, P. and Emberger, G. (2019) *System Szenarien Automatisiertes Fahren in der Personenmobilität - Deliverable 3.1*. Wien.
- Pfaffenbichler, P., Gühnemann, A. and Emberger, G. (2019) 'Simulation der Effekte individueller und kollektiver automatisierter Mobilitätssysteme in Österreich', in 13. *Jahrestagung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für System Dynamics e.V.* Braunschweig, DE: Deutsche Gesellschaft für System Dynamics e.V. (DGSD). doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.29609.85601.
- Soteropoulos, A. et al. (2019) *SAFiP – System Szenarien Automatisiertes Fahren in der Personenmobilität*. Wien. Available at: https://projekte.ffg.at/anhang/5cee1b11a1eb7_SAFiP_Ergebnisbericht.pdf.
- UK Autodrive (2019) *Final project report*. Available at: http://www.ukautodrive.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/UK-Autodrive_Final-Report_May-2019.pdf.
- Ventana Systems (2007) *Vensim® - Ventana® Simulation Environment - DSS, Professional, PLE Plus and PLE User's Guide Version 5*.
- Wadud, Z., MacKenzie, D. and Leiby, P. (2016) 'Help or hindrance? The travel, energy and carbon impacts of highly automated vehicles', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 86. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2015.12.001.
- Zankl, C. and Rehrl, K. (2018) *Digibus 2017: Experiences with the first self-driving shuttlebus on open roads in Austria*. Salzburg. Available at: <http://www.digibus.at>.